Case 5:06-mj-7078R₁M-PCSPATRISODISTERRICAT (FÖHER 11.2/07/06) Page 1 of 1

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

	SAN JOSE	DIVISION	
UNITED STATES OF A	AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number	CR06-70782HRL
v. JOSE LITO CAMPOS	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION	ON PENDING TRIAL
In accordance w	vith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 represented by his attorney Cynthia Lie	142(f), a detention hearing was AFPD. The United States was	as held on December 7, 2006. s represented by Assistant U.S.
Attorney Susan Jerich .	-		
C offense descri	S APPLICABLE lant is charged with an offense describe bed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on five (5) years has elapsed since the date	release pending trial for a fede	eral, state or local offense, and a
de la la servantia do de la terr	s a rebuttable presumption that no cond		
-f other nerson and			
an offense	for which a maximum term of impris		
A. <u>X</u>	801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a	et sea OR	
D	1 10 II C C & 024(a): use of a fi	rearm during the commission	of a felony.
B This establishe	s a rebuttable presumption that no conc	lition or combination of condit	tions will rea of ably ass re ne
appearance of the defen	dant as required and the safety of the c	ommunity.	
/ / No presun	nption applies.		DEC - 7 2006
PART II. REBUTTAL O	F PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE endant has not come forward with suffind detained	cient evidence to rebut the app	licable prescriptions in Circboat
/ / The defen	dant has come forward with evidence t	o rebut the applicable presump	otion[s] to wit:
Thus, the burd	en of proof shifts back to the United St	ates.	
D. DE HIL DROOF (WILL	COL DESCUMPTIONS RESUTTED OR IN	(APPLICABLE)	
/ / The Unite	d States has proved to a preponderance	e of the evidence that no condit	tion or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the a	ppearance of the defendant as required ed States has proved by clear and convi	ncing evidence that no condition	on or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the s	afety of any other person and the comn	nunity.	
DARKIN WATERNE	INDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF	F REASONS FOR DETENTION	
/ X / The Cou	irt has taken into account the factors se	t out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) ar	ad all of the information submitted at
the bearing and finds a	a follows: Although defendant has ties	to the community, a reasonable	e history of employment, and no
criminal record, he is	currently on pre-trial release (as of July	7 18, 2006) from this court on a	a roomery charge. Significantly, the
activities and conversa	tions which are part of the basis of the	present charge for conspiracy	connect trust defendant to obey the
occurred while defend	ant was on pre-trial release. According	ly, the court concludes that it	cannot trust defendant to dody and
orders of the court and	l orders him detained. t, his attorney, and the AUSA have wai	ived written findings.	
m II Demographic	DECARDING DETENTION		
con 1 C 1 ('-	itted to the austody of the Attorn	ley General or his designated r	epresentative for confinement in a
C. Hieranna	to to the extent practicable from person	is awaiting or serving sentence	s of being uerd in custody benguis abbeau
	forded a reasonable apportunity for Driv	vate consultation with delense	Counsel. On order of a court of the
T 1. 1 01 4 41-0 mg	quest of an attorney for the Governmer tates Marshal for the purpose of an app	it, the merson in charge of the c	Corrections facility shall deliver the
i 1		Mone)
Dated: $12 7 6$	$\frac{1}{HC}$	WARD R. LLOYD	

United States Magistrate Judge